



### Effect of methionine sources on broiler performances in thermoneutral or cyclic heat stress conditions

Trial: 06 INRA

#### 1. Summary

The aim of this study was to compare the effect of methionine sources on growth performance depending on the environmental temperature conditions. Birds were reared in standard thermoneutral conditions or in cyclic heat stress conditions from 15 to 38 days of age. The dietary treatments differed only by the added methionine sources (DL-Methionine or DL-HMTBA) used at isomolecular level in feed. The results obtained in this study were affected by a room effect detected during the starter period (0 to 14 days) that was probably carried over the other further rearing periods. However, the conclusion of this study was that the 2 methionine sources performed similarly on the overall rearing period whatever the thermal conditions.

#### 2. Material and method

2 treatments x 2 thermal condition x 6 replicates of 40 Broilers

Table 1. Experimental design

|                            | T1<br>DL-Methionine                          | T2<br>DL-HMTBA | T3<br>DL-Methionine           | T4<br>DL-HMTBA |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Starter period<br>0-14 d   | Room 1                                       |                | Room 2                        |                |
|                            | Standard temperature condition (33°C → 25°C) |                |                               |                |
| Meth. Sources*             | 0.38%  |                |                               |                |
| Grower period<br>15-28 d   | Room 1                                       |                | Room 2                        |                |
|                            | Cyclic Heat Stress<br>(27°C 12h / 33°C 12h)  |                | Std conditions<br>25°C → 21°C |                |
| Meth. sources*             | 0.20%  |                |                               |                |
| Finisher period<br>29-38 d | Room 1                                       |                | Room 2                        |                |
|                            | Cyclic Heat Stress<br>(27°C 12h / 33°C 12h)  |                | Std conditions<br>21°C        |                |
| Meth. sources*             | 0.13%  |                |                               |                |

*DLM: DL-Methionine HMTBA: Hydroxy-methyl-thiobutanoic acid*

*\*Methionine sources incorporation based on Iso-molecular basis*

#### Management and measurements:

The trial was performed at the Poultry Experimental Unit of INRA, Nouzilly (France). Nine hundred and sixty broiler chicks were weighted and allocated in floor pens with 40 chicks each. During the starter phase period (0 to 14 days), room temperature management followed the

standard conditions from 33°C to 25-24°C. From 15 days of age, temperature in room 1 was differently regulated with a cyclic heat stress applied from 27°C to 33°C every 12 hours. This condition was maintained until the end of the trial at 38 days. In room 2, the temperature condition followed the standard Ross recommendations.

Feeds and water were provided to broilers *ad libitum*. Body weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and methionine efficiency were measured during the experiment.

### **Statistical analysis:**

Statistical analyses were performed by using variance analysis (ANOVA) where single effects were Methionine source and temperature condition. The interaction between methionine sources and temperature condition had been also tested. Means comparison was tested by the Least Square Procedure of Fisher.

**Table 2. Composition and characteristics of the experimental diets**

|                            | Starter diet |          | Grower diet |          | Finisher diet |          |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|---------------|----------|
|                            | DL-Met       | DL-HMTBA | DL-Met.     | DL-HMTBA | DL-Met.       | DL-HMTBA |
| <b>Ingredients (%)</b>     |              |          |             |          |               |          |
| Corn                       | 55.46        | 55.46    | 56.47       | 56.47    | 60.77         | 60.77    |
| Extruded soybeans          | 3.90         | 3.90     | 7.98        | 7.98     | 8.00          | 8.00     |
| Soybean meal 48            | 33.2         | 33.2     | 26.98       | 26.98    | 22.35         | 22.35    |
| Palm oil                   | 1.17         | 1.17     | 4.47        | 4.47     | 4.78          | 4.78     |
| Soybean oil                | 1.17         | 1.17     | -           | -        | -             | -        |
| Calcium carbonate          | 0.80         | 0.80     | 0.80        | 0.80     | 0.80          | 0.80     |
| Dicalcium phosphate        | 2.00         | 2.00     | 2.00        | 2.00     | 2.00          | 2.00     |
| Salt                       | 0.30         | 0.30     | 0.30        | 0.30     | 0.30          | 0.30     |
| L-threonine                | 0.16         | 0.16     | 0.05        | 0.05     | -             | -        |
| L-tryptophan               | 0.12         | 0.12     | 0.01        | 0.01     | -             | -        |
| HCl L-Lysine 98            | 0.54         | 0.54     | 0.17        | 0.17     | 0.06          | 0.06     |
| DL-methionine              | 0.38         | -        | 0.20        | -        | 0.13          | 0.13     |
| DL-HMTBA                   | -            | 0.43     | -           | 0.23     | -             | 0.14     |
| Vitamins/minerals premix   | 0.80         | 0.75     | 0.57        | 0.54     | 0.81          | 0.80     |
| <b>Calculated analyses</b> |              |          |             |          |               |          |
| Metabolizable energy       | 3 000        | 3 000    | 3 150       | 3 150    | 3 200         | 3 200    |
| Protein (%)                | 22.1         | 22.1     | 20.1        | 20.1     | 18.1          | 18.1     |
| Fat (%)                    | 5.7          | 5.7      | 8.5         | 8.5      | 8.9           | 8.9      |
| Fiber (%)                  | 3.3          | 3.3      | 3.2         | 3.2      | 3.0           | 3.0      |
| Ash (%)                    | 5.4          | 5.4      | 5.3         | 5.3      | 5.1           | 5.1      |
| Lysine (%)                 | 1.58         | 1.58     | 1.21        | 1.21     | 1.00          | 1.00     |
| Methionine (%)             | 0.72         | 0.72     | 0.52        | 0.52     | 0.43          | 0.43     |
| Cystine (%)                | 0,35         | 0,35     | 0.33        | 0.33     | 0.31          | 0.31     |
| Methionine + cystine (%)   | 1.06         | 1.06     | 0.85        | 0.85     | 0.74          | 0.74     |
| Threonine (%)              | 0.98         | 0.98     | 0.82        | 0.82     | 0.70          | 0.70     |
| Tryptophan (%)             | 0.37         | 0.37     | 0.24        | 0.24     | 0.21          | 0.21     |

### 3. Results and discussion

During the starter period, a significant effect of methionine sources ( $p=0.02$ ) on body weight gain appeared with lower weight of HMTBA fed birds compared to DLM fed birds. This difference between treatments can be partially related to different trends in feed intake ( $p=0.08$ ) between methionine sources. Moreover, a significant interaction (room x methionine sources) ( $P=0.02$ ) appeared on feed conversion. During this period, the environment management was supposed to be the same in the two rooms. However, the heating systems could not be strictly the same and some differences in temperature and/or air steaming created some differences between these two rooms, resulting in a significant interaction.

**Table 3. Performance results depending on temperature conditions and methionine sources.** Results are expressed as mean for the different treatments and condition. Statistics are expressed through factorial analysis for methionine source; temperature effect and Methionine source x temperature condition interaction. In the same line significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) are expressed with different letters.

|                                     | Cyclic Heat Stress |           | Standard temp. |           | Temp.*  | Met. source | Interaction |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------------|-------------|
|                                     | DLM                | HMTBA     | DLM            | HMTBA     |         |             |             |
| <b>Starter period (0-14 days)</b>   |                    |           |                |           |         |             |             |
| Weight gain, g                      | 426.81             | 420.81    | 428.74         | 418.33    | 0.931   | 0.022       | 0.51        |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 98.6%     | -              | 97.6%     |         |             |             |
| Feed intake, g                      | 570.03             | 552.74    | 556.34         | 551.20    | 0.223   | 0.082       | 0.332       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 97.0%     | -              | 99.1%     |         |             |             |
| Feed conversion                     | 1.336 a            | 1.314 ab  | 1.298 b        | 1.317 ab  | 0.052   | 0.908       | 0.02        |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 101.7%    | -              | 98.5%     |         |             |             |
| <b>Grower period (15-28 days)</b>   |                    |           |                |           |         |             |             |
| Weight gain, g                      | 851.01             | 858.22    | 1125.87        | 1136.80   | <0.0001 | 0.614       | 0.917       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 100.8%    | -              | 101.0%    |         |             |             |
| Feed intake, g                      | 1457.77            | 1457.49   | 1788.33        | 1743.85   | <0.0001 | 0.298       | 0.304       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 100.0%    | -              | 97.5%     |         |             |             |
| Feed conversion                     | 1.71               | 1.70      | 1.59           | 1.53      | <0.0001 | 0.07        | 0.34        |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 101.0%    | -              | 103.4%    |         |             |             |
| <b>Finisher period (29-38 days)</b> |                    |           |                |           |         |             |             |
| Weight gain, g                      | 445.23 c           | 487.10 c  | 1064.97 a      | 964.17 b  | <0.0001 | 0.22        | 0.006       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 109.4%    | -              | 90.5%     |         |             |             |
| Feed intake, g                      | 1199.77 c          | 1266.00 c | 2037.24 a      | 1958.76 b | <0.0001 | 0.803       | 0.007       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 105.5%    | -              | 96.1%     |         |             |             |
| Feed conversion                     | 2.70               | 2.60      | 1.91           | 2.03      | <0.0001 | 0.95        | 0.105       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 104.6%    | -              | 93.7%     |         |             |             |
| <b>From 0 to 38 days</b>            |                    |           |                |           |         |             |             |
| Weight gain, g                      | 3245.33            | 3286.28   | 4380.22        | 4253.55   | <0.0001 | 0.311       | 0.056       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 101.3%    | -              | 97.1%     |         |             |             |
| Feed intake, g                      | 1745.14 c          | 1781.83 c | 2620.81 a      | 2519.71b  | <0.0001 | 0.307       | 0.037       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | -                  | 102.1%    | -              | 96.1%     |         |             |             |
| Feed conversion                     | 1.86               | 1.84      | 1.67           | 1.69      | <0.0001 | 0.967       | 0.225       |
| HMTBA/ DLM equivalence              | 101.0%             | 99.0%     | -              | -         |         |             |             |

\* During the starter period (0-14 day) temperature effect has to be understood as room effect due to similar temperature management in both rooms during the starter phase .

However, the performance results from 15 to 38 days indicated a clear effect of temperature condition and lower performances under the cyclic heat stress condition compared to the thermoneutral condition ( $p < 0.0001$ ). The effect of cyclic heat stress forced birds to lower feed intake (-17% and -38% respectively during grower and finisher phases) in order to limit metabolic rate and heat production. Interestingly, the decrease in body weight gain (-24% and -54% respectively for the grower and finisher periods) was more pronounced than the decrease of feed intake, indicating that beyond the feed intake regulation, heat stress condition could adversely affect growth performances through other mechanism.

No clear effect of methionine sources was observed whatever the thermal condition considered. However, a significant interaction on body weight gain and feed intake was observed during the finishing period. Actually, HMTBA fed birds showed a lower feed intake and lower body weight gain that could be a remaining effect of the starter period and the room effect previously observed. This hypothesis is supported by the lack of significant differences on FCR during this period.

The effect of methionine sources had been largely studied with inconclusive results. Indeed, under high temperature conditions, some researchers have observed that birds fed DL-HMBTA showed better growth, feed efficiency and lower mortality compared to DLM-supplemented chickens (Swick and Pierson, 1988; Swick et al., 1990). In another study, no differences in growth performance were found due to supplementation of either sources in broilers exposed to high temperature (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2006). Conversely, Balnave and Oliva (1990) obtained improved feed efficiency under high temperature conditions with DLM supplementation, but not for DL-HMTBA supplementation. In our study no clear differences has been pointed out between methionine sources irrespective of the temperature conditions on the overall rearing period. Indeed, the relative FCR ratio between methionine sources appeared to be 99.8% and 100.1% respectively for thermoneutrality and cyclic heat stress condition.

## 4. Conclusions

The results obtained in this study showed that cyclic heat stress conditions decrease drastically bird performance compared to standard conditions. However, the results obtained didn't show significant differences between methionine sources on the whole rearing period whatever the environmental conditions applied.

## 5. References

- Balnave, D. and A. Oliva ,1990.** Responses of finishing broilers at high temperatures to dietary methionine source and supplementation levels. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 41:557-564.
- Ribeiro, A.M.L., Kessler, A.M., Viola T.H., Silva, I.C.M., Rubin, L., Raber, M. and L. F. Lecknieski, 2006.** Methionine sources, sodium and potassium levels impact broiler performance under Brazilian summer conditions. *Poult. Sci.* 85: 150
- Swick, R. A., and E. E. M. Pierson, 1988.** Effect of methionine sources and dietary acidulates on broilers during heat stress. *Poult. Sci.* 68:208.
- Swick, R. A., D. C. Creswell, J. J. Dibner, and F. J. Ivey. 1990.** Impact of methionine sources on performance of broilers growing under warm and humid conditions. *Poult. Sci.* 69: 194.